



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
Jianhui Li	42390P13146	6549	
8791 7590 12/29/2005 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			
		AFMAN EXAM	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 ;		ΓΔ,	oplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		L.4_	0/037,655 	LI ET AL.				
	omce Action Gammary		caminer	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this community		nih-Ching Chow	2192				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears	s on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MISSIONS OF THE MISSION OF	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. itutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be timely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 September 2005</u> .							
•	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-60 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 September 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F	ate	O-152)			
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/29/02</u> .							

Application/Control Number: 10/037,655 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to amendment dated September 23, 2005.

- 2. Drawing has been corrected. NO claim has been amended.
- 3. Claims 1-60 remain pending.

Response to Amendment

4. Applicants' amendment dated 09/23/05, responding to the 06/23/2005 Office action provided in the objection of <u>drawings</u>. The examiner has reviewed the updated drawing, FIG. 7A, respectfully. The set of formal drawings filed concurrently with the above-mentioned amendment is <u>accepted</u> by the Examiner.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see REMARKS pages 3-7, filed 09/23/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-60 under 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, not all of the claims are under allowable condition, see 35 USC § 112 rejections herein below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 31-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2192

These claims recite: "the register format including an <u>input block format</u> and an output block format of the source block of code, ... and detecting a block format inconsistency between the source register and a target register of a target architecture during an execution phase of a binary translation that translates the source block of code into a target block of code running in the target architecture." However, see Specification paragraph 0017 of the current application, "An instruction format inconsistency is detected between the source register and a target register of a target architecture by comparing the output block format to the <u>input instruction format</u> if the output block format asserts an access status of the source register." — the instruction format inconsistency is detected between the <u>Output Block format and the input instruction format</u> (as specified in claims 1, 11, 21), not the input block format (as specified in claims 31, 41, and 51).

8. All the dependent claims 22-30, 32-40, 42-50, 52-60 are rejected under the same reason as stated above.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior arts of record: Abdallah, teaches a method and apparatus to convert data from one format to another wherein the data in the original format is in one architectural register and the data in the converted format is placed in another architectural register. Yates teaches a method, system and a program for a computer with an instruction processor designed to execute instructions of first and second instruction sets using flags and table entries to keep track of the execution of the instructions. However, none of them, taken alone or in combination, teaches the limitations of a method of determining a register format of a source register operated on by a source instruction in a source block of code, the register format including an input instruction format and an output block

Application/Control Number: 10/037,655 Page 4

Art Unit: 2192

format of the source block of code, the source block of code running in a source architecture, the source register having multiple formats and being used as an input of the source instruction, the input instruction format containing format of the source register expected by the source instruction, the output block format containing format of the source register after the source block of code is executed; and

detecting an instruction format inconsistency between the source register and a target register of a target architecture during a translation phase of a binary translation that translates the source block of code into a target block of code running in the target architecture, in such a manner as recited in each of the independent claims 1, 11, and 21.

Conclusion

10. The following summarizes the status of the claims:

35 USC § 112 (1) rejections: Claims 31-60

Allowable claims: 1-30

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Ching Chow whose telephone number is 571-272-3693. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Mozugu antony nguyensa ANTONY NGUYEN-BAPRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/037,655

Art Unit: 2192

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Ching Chow

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 2192

December 23, 2005